

Generative AI Based Activities

Assessment Activity: Essay with GenAl

Description

This activity seeks to improve on a traditional essay as an assessment of learning by adjusting to a more authentic activity and leveraging the use of GenAI. As a result, this activity will build practical use of GenAI that mirrors industry practice. The activity authentically assesses a student's knowledge of, and ability to, apply their competency with course content and has a side effect of severely limiting the possibility for an Academic Integrity breach.

Application

 This can be used as a summative assessment in any discipline appropriately assessed with a final paper.

Level

 This activity is well suited to summative assessments for course learning outcomes (CLOs) that introduce, develop or advance content.

Objectives

This will assess

- · Understanding of course content.
- Assessing and analyzing content.
- Curating appropriate information in to a cohesive message.
- Editing human and AI Generated information into a final professional or academic ready product.
- Proficiency with using GenAl including prompt development and refinement.
- Insight into the strengths and weaknesses of GenAl.
- To support accessibility, this activity will be presented in several more manageable steps.

Activity

Part One of Four

Write a 500-word explanation of a theory covered in the course

- Select a theory covered in class or in the course materials.
- Write 500 words (all submissions for this activity will be double spaced, 12-point Calibri font, single-sided).
- · Be sure to discuss:
 - Origins of the theory
 - Typical applications of the theory
 - Provide your assessment of 3 key strengths and weaknesses of the theory

This part of the activity is intended to represent the baseline of your own perspective. You may use spell-check and the grammar feature in your word processing software. Please do not use Grammarly or GenAl for this part of the activity. If you are unsure if a feature or service is allowed, please email me at <instructor's email>@kpu.ca or see me in my office hours (room – Day-hours) before the due date (for example, if the due date is Oct 15th, I will need to receive the email by Oct 14th.

Part Two of Four

Integrate with GenAl

- Write Prompts asking GenAl to address:
 - The theory
 - Origins or the theory
 - Typical applications of the theory
 - Three key strengths and weaknesses of the theory

Be sure to reframe your initial prompts at least 4 times (5 sets of prompts total) until you receive the response you feel is best for the purpose of this activity.

Submit a transcript of your prompts and the generated output.

Activity

Part Three of Four

Final version

- Craft a final 500-word explanation of the theory (double spaced, 12-point Calibri font, single sided).
- · Be sure to discuss:
 - Origins of the Theory
 - Typical applications of the theory
 - Provide your assessment of 3 key strengths and weaknesses of the theory

Part Four of Four

Reflection

- Using your original paper and the atrial you generated with AI from part three, provide an additional 200-300-word assessment that addresses:
 - Changes made from the first document and the generated document to the final document
 - Where was GenAI effective or ineffective? Why do you think this is so?
 - · What prompt changes yielded the best results?
 - How will you use, or what recommendation for future use of, GenAI do you have?

Sample Ideas for Assessing GenAl Use

Part One

Looking for initial, original insight into the topic of choice.

	1	2	3	4	5
Criteria Considered	No Evidence of Criteira	Evidence of Column 1 and 3	Clearly show evidence of	Evidence of Column 3 and 5	Exceptio nal evidence or use of criteria

Part Two

- Did they use multiple prompts?
- · Did their prompts cover all the recommended areas?
- Did their prompt progression demonstrate some planning?
- Did the prompts refinements build on each prior prompt was it an iterative or progressive process?

Part Three

- Did they produce a better product than the original or the GenAI document?
- Did they show evidence of selecting better information from the human or generated material?
- Did they clearly show evidence of editing the disparate parts together into a cohesive whole?
- Is the final product appropriate for professional or academic use at this level?
- Were they able to demonstrate an awareness of sound vs poor information?

Sample Ideas for Assessing GenAl Use

Part Four

- · Were they able to identify benefits to using GenAI?
- · Were they able to identify the challenges associated with GenAI use?
- Were they aware of biases and reasons to be cautious when using GenAI and did they intentionally work to mitigate these concerns?
- Do they have a reasonable perspective for future use of the GenAI?
- · Did they show insight into the prompts?
- Did they provide a rationale for the decisions they made around prompts and the final document?